

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,423 12/03/2001		Tapesh Yadav	A21 4189		
25235	7590 03/27/2003				
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500 1200 SEVENTEENTH ST			EXAMINER		
			RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n	No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		10/001,423	,	YADAV ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert R. F		2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event eply within the statuto od will apply and will e ute. cause the applica	however, may a reply be timery minimum of thirty (30) days to spire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	0 March 2003						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	tion of Claims	ne annlication	·					
4)[4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-29 is/are pending in the application.							
د√ ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-6,8-12 and 22-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6) Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 21 is/are rejected.							
•	, ,	l/or election red	uirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received in Applicati	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmer	`		. 🗖 .					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Interview Summary) Notice of Informal F) Other:					

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election of claim 21 (nanomaterial including zinc that is sensitive to hydrogen) is acknowledged.

The drawings are objected to because Figure 2C is not labeled such in the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description of the Drawings does not refer to Figures 2a, 2b, 2c, 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, what does "quantum-confined" physically mean in this apparatus claim? Also, what does the term –nano—add to the term –material—in "nanomaterial"? What is a "nanomaterial? Is the term "nano" related to a weight, mass or dimension of some type?

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As to claim 1, how is a "nanomaterial" made such that it is "quantum-confined"?

1/12/2

OK

Application/Control Number: 10/001,423

Art Unit: 2856

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b0) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shigekuni (JP 08261979).

Shigekuni teaches a device comprising, laminated structure including 10nm layers of metal layer and 10nm layers of Silicon dioxide, the device applied as gas detector, thus sensitive to gas.

As to claim 1, the term "nm" seems to be suggestive of nanometer, thus making the material "nanomaterial". Also, gases are reactive, and thus are chemicals to that extent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al in view of Barbee, Jr. et al.

Chen et al teach a sensor, including: layers of dielectric and electrodes, but the layers are not "nanomaterial".

As to claim 1, Chen's "Depending on the deposition method" (col. 4, line 52) reference suggests any deposition technique, suggestive of Barbee's (col. 7, lines 50-

Application/Control Number: 10/001,423

Art Unit: 2856

56; col. 8, lines 20-30) sputtering technique that uses nanostructure materials. The term "nanostructure" material suggests nanomaterial as claimed.

Claims 1, 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over any one of Hoenig et al, Cheng et al, Sibbald et al or Volt.

Hoenig et al teach a hydrogen (col. 1, line 23) sensor that employs "electrode" (col. 1, line 34) and hydrogen sensitive material (col. 7, lines 20-25) with nanometer size ("nm" on col. 7, line 15) dielectric layer.

As to claims 1, 2 and 7, the layers may be deemed to be laminate, and the nanomaterial is confined with respect to the sensor structure.

Chen et al teach a "hydrogen" sensor employing nanometer ("nanometers" on col. 3, line 33) material.

As to claims 1, 2 and 7, the layers may be deemed to be laminate, and the nanometer material is confined with respect to the sensor structure. Also, leads 42 suggest electrodes.

Sibald et al teach a "hydrogen" sensitive sensor employing "electrode" (col. 2, line 60) and nanomaterial ("nm" on col. 3, line 6).

As to claims 1, 2 and 7, the layers may be deemed to be laminate, and the nanomaterial is confined with respect to the sensor.

Claim1, 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neuburger.

1/3/37

Art Unit: 2856

Neuburger teaches a device for sensing gas, comprising crystal having a "50-200" nm thick coating of zinc" (col. 5, line 15).

Nueburger's written specification does not use the phrase "quantum-confined" or electrode.

As to claims 1, 2 and 21, the zinc is confined to a region on the crystal, and to that extent is "confined" as claimed. Also, the circuitry connections in Neuburger's detectors 122 pass electrical current, and to that extent are electrodes as claimed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Voit teaches hydrogen sensor that employs 200 nm dimensions with respect to the layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 703-305-4919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.